

### **REMARKS**

The Non-final office action of October 11, 2005 has been carefully reviewed and these remarks are responsive thereto. Reconsideration and allowance of the instant application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-15 remain in this application.

The Examiner has requested information under 37 C.F.R. 1.105. Applicants are filing the response to the request for information under separate cover.

Claims 1-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Lakritz (U.S. Patent No. 6,623,529). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 recites, among other things, a globalization management system comprising a global management engine including a site-to-site relationship manager for identifying provider and subscriber relationships.

Lakritz fails to disclose this feature. The Office Action asserts that Lakritz discloses a “master site” and a “site” (see Office Action, page 7). However, Lakritz fails to disclose a global management engine that includes a site-to-site relationship manager. Rather, the “master site” of Lakritz is a web site containing a document in a master language (see, e.g., col. 9, lines 44-45) where content has been updated. The updated content is to be translated into a second language by a “translation resource” (see, e.g., col. 9, line 14). The document is transmitted from the “master site” to the “translation resource” via a “workflow pipeline” (see, e.g., col. 9, line 51). The document (with the updates) is translated at the “translation resource” (see, e.g., col. 9, line 52-53). However, after the document is translated, the translated document is returned to the web site manager at the master web site (see, e.g., col. 10, lines 8-9, “...delivery of the translated documents back to the Web site for installation and optional review 708.”). Hence, the “master site” and the “site” cited by the Office Action are actually the same site.

Claim 1 recites a site-to-site relationship manager for identifying provider and subscriber relationships. However, Lakritz merely discloses a web site containing updates to the content of

the web site and transmitting the updated content to a remote site for translation of the updates, then return of the translated document to the web site. Therefore, Lakritz fails to disclose a provider and subscriber at all much less a site-to-site relationship manager for identifying the provider and subscriber relationships.

Claim 1 further recites a project manger for transferring the data to at least one of the interrelated data sources identified as a subscriber site by the site-to-site relationship manager. As set forth above, Lakritz fails to disclose a subscriber site at all. Therefore, Lakritz also fails to disclose transferring data to an interrelated data sources identified as a subscriber site.

“A claim is anticipated only if each and every element is set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference.” *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). In the present case, Lakritz fails to disclose each and every element of claim 1. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted the rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 2-14 depend from claim 1 and are allowable for at least the reasons set forth above for claim 1.

Claim 15 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lakritz. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 15 depends from claim 1. As set forth above, Lakritz fails to disclose claim 1. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that claim 15 is allowable over Lakritz. The rejection should be withdrawn.

It is believed that no fee is required for this submission. If any fees are required or if an overpayment is made, the Commissioner is authorized to debit or credit our Deposit Account No. 19-0733, accordingly.

Appln. No.: 10/003,315  
Reply to Office Action of October 11, 2006

All rejections having been addressed, applicants respectfully submit that the instant application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully solicit prompt notification of the same.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: April 11, 2006

By: /Christopher R. Glembocki/  
Christopher R. Glembocki  
Registration No. 38,800

1001 G Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001-4597  
Tel: (202) 824-3000  
Fax: (202) 824-3001